



Florida Office

Bradley J. Edwards *[†]
Seth M. Lehrman *[†]
Brittany N. Henderson *[†]
Matthew D. Weissing *[†]

425 North Andrews Avenue
Suite 2
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Telephone (954)524-2820
Fax (954)524-2822

New York Office

J. Stanley Pottinger [‡]

[†] Admitted in California
[◊] Admitted in District of Columbia
^{*} Admitted in Florida
[‡] Admitted in New York
[‡] Board Certified Civil Trial Lawyer

November 12, 2019

VIA ECF

Honorable Andrew L. Carter
Thurgood Marshall
United States Courthouse
40 Foley Square
New York, NY 10007

Re: *Priscilla Doe v. Darren N. Indyke, et al.*, 1:19-cv-07772 (ALC)

Dear Judge Carter:

We represent Plaintiff Priscilla Doe in the above-captioned action. On November 12, 2019, counsel for Defendants authored a letter to the Court requesting a two-week extension to answer, move or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint, from November 15, 2019 to November 29, 2019. [DE 32].

Plaintiff filed her complaint in this matter on August 20, 2019. On September 10, 2019, counsel for Defendants agreed to accept service of Plaintiff's complaint on behalf of all Defendants thereby making Defendants' respective responsive pleadings due on October 1, 2019—twenty-one days later. At the request of Defendants, Plaintiff granted all Defendants an extension of time to respond to Plaintiff's complaint through and including November 15, 2019, pending court approval of said agreement.

Counsel for Defendants now seek an additional "two week extension of Defendants' time to answer, move or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint from November 15, 2019 to November 29, 2019" while representing that "[t]his is the first request for an extension of this deadline." While it may be semantically true that this is the first request for an extension of this deadline that Defendants have made to this Court, it is certainly not the first request for extension nor the first extension as Plaintiff has already graciously provided Defendants with a forty-five (45) day extension to respond to her complaint.

Pertinently, in seeking the additional fourteen (14) day extension, counsel for Defendants represented to Plaintiff that the need for further extension arises from Defendants' intent to file a pleading in a Court in the United States Virgin Islands relating to the Estate of Jeffrey E. Epstein,

November 12, 2019
Page 2

which will provide information on a “claims resolution program.” Plaintiff does not believe that the use of any alternative dispute resolution mechanism should stay or modify the course of litigation in this matter.

Plaintiff intends to issue discovery and begin taking depositions as soon as permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the local rules of this Court given the voluminous discovery that is anticipated to occur in this case as it pertains to all Defendants. There is no reason to provide Defendants with an additional fourteen (14) day extension as they have already been provided with an additional forty-five (45) days to respond to Plaintiff’s Complaint. Being that the basis for Defendants’ currently requested extension relates to alternative dispute resolution mechanisms, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court deny Defendants’ request and allow her case to proceed without further delay.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'B. Edwards', with a stylized, cursive script.

Bradley J. Edwards